

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/622,682		07/18/2003	Charles A. Cody	AP34568 070132.0184	3637	
2352	7590	09/30/2005		EXAMINER		
		SER GERB & SOFE	METZMAIER, DANIEL S			
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1712		
				DATE MAILED: 09/30/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>(</i> )	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/622,682	CODY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	lly 2003.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r. ·		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
•	•		
Attachment(s)	<b>∧</b> □ •	DTO 440)	
1)	4)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/622,682

Art Unit: 1712

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

Claims 1-39 are pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-17 and 19, drawn to a composition comprising an anion ionically bound to a cationic organic compound and an high surface area substrate, classified in class 516, subclass 100.
  - II. Claims 18, 20-21, and 26-27, drawn to methods of making a composition comprising an ion complex, classified in class 252, subclass 1.
  - III. Claims 22-25, drawn to methods of making a powder and the power made, classified in class 106, subclass 487.
  - IV. Claims 28-39, drawn to methods of making a tinted plastic and tinted plastics made, classified in class 524, subclass 445.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Group II or Group III and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the products do not require the formation of a complex per se and the ion exchange and/or surface characterizations of the method claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,682 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

- 3. Inventions of Group I or Group II and Group IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful in product that do not require polymers or plastics such as medicinal compositions (see claim 17) and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Election of species

5. Claims 1-39 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

The species are as follows:

(I) (1) Cationic quaternary ammonium compounds, (2) cationic quaternary phosphonium compounds, and (3) cationic ternary sulfonium compounds;

Application/Control Number: 10/622,682

Art Unit: 1712

(II) said anion ionically bound compound may have the function of (1) a dye, (1) pigment, (2) catalyst, (2) redox agent, (3) medicinal substance;

(III) (1) clays and (2) zeolites;

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Said election should include a single species for each of the following to include a (I) cationic compound, (II) an anion ionically bound compound, and (III) a high surface area substrate.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Douglas A. Miro / Mark A. Farley on September 26, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1712

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S. Metzmaier

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712